

1  
2  
3  
4 RONALD ROSS,  
5 Plaintiff,  
6 v.  
7 CITY OF OAKLAND, et al.,  
8 Defendants.  
9

10 Case No. [14-cv-00800-MEJ](#)  
11

12 **ORDER FOR SUPPLEMENTAL  
BRIEFING**

13 Re: Dkt. No. 74  
14

15 Pending before the Court is Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment. Dkt. No. 74.  
16 Plaintiff opposes Defendants' Motion, primarily relying on the Declaration of Renardo Williams.  
17 See Williams Decl., Dkt. No. 104-4. Defendants dispute the admissibility of this Declaration at  
18 trial and the Court's consideration of it at summary judgment. See Mot. 14-15; Reply at 5-6, Dkt.  
19 No. 106. Plaintiff's Opposition argues that Mr. Williams' Declaration may be admissible under  
20 Federal Rule of Evidence 804(b)(3) as a statement against interest by an unavailable witness;  
21 however, it is unclear what efforts, if any, Plaintiff has taken to locate Mr. Williams or to procure  
22 his testimony. Opp'n at 7, Dkt. No. 104. Accordingly, Plaintiff shall file a declaration **by July 1,**  
**2015** describing his attempts, if any, to locate Mr. Williams and to obtain discovery from him, as  
well as what, if any, possible leads remain to locating him.

23 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**  
24

25 Dated: June 24, 2015  
26

27   
28 MARIA-ELENA JAMES  
United States Magistrate Judge